



## INFORMATION FOR REFEREES

### General Rules

Peer review of each manuscript is performed by two (in controversial cases – by three) qualified specialists after their consent. Referees have three (as exception – four) weeks for manuscript evaluation and returning of their reports. Reports should contain final decision of Referee and should be prepared as a standard form filled out by each Referee. Also Referees are welcomed to make any additional notes and corrections in any form.

The Referees are not expected to provide linguistic editing of the manuscript, but to focus on its scientific quality, as well as for the overall style. It is the Author's responsibility to submit the manuscript in linguistically and grammatically correct scientific language. However, it happens that manuscripts may contain small errors in orthography or stylistics. We shall be thankful if Referees spot such errors during the reading process and correct them. From other hand, if the manuscript requires deep or numerous linguistic edits, please just inform editor about this in the report.

The Referees do not need to sign their reports but are welcome to do so. They are also asked to declare any conflicts of interests and cases of plagiarism.

Most often, the final decision is made on the basis of the Referees reports. In cases of strong disagreement between the reports or between the Authors and Referees, the Executive Editor can judge these according to his expertise or seek advice from a member of Modern Phytomorphology Editorial Board.

### Membership in the Editorial Board

The Referees are welcomed to become the members of our Editorial Board. To become a member the Referee should send its CV and Letter of Motivation to the Executive Editor (Dr. Andrew V. Novikoff, novikoffav@gmail.com). All the candidates will be evaluated by Main Council of Modern Phytomorphology and will be informed about final decision during two month.